



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)


(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G01D 5/26, G01B 11/16, G01L 1/00, C03C 25/00, G02B 6/245	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 96/17223 (43) International Publication Date: 6 June 1996 (06.06.96)
--	----	--

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US95/15163

(22) International Filing Date: 21 November 1995 (21.11.95)

(30) Priority Data:
08/346,059 29 November 1994 (29.11.94) US(71) Applicant: UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
[US/US]; United Technologies Building, Hartford, CT
06101 (US).(72) Inventors: DUNPHY, James, R.; 21 Hickory Drive, South
Glastonbury, CT 06073 (US). RYAN, James, J.; 38 Sadler
Street, Windsor Locks, CT 06096 (US).(81) Designated States: CN, JP, KR, European patent (AT, BE, CH,
DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).**Published***With international search report.**Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the
claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of
amendments.*

(54) Title: OPTICAL FIBER BRAGG GRATING COATING REMOVAL DETECTION



(57) Abstract

An optical corrosion sensor employs an optical fiber Bragg grating (20) embedded within an optical fiber (18). The grating (20) has a coating (40) made of a material, such as aluminum, which corrodes or can otherwise be removed. The coating (40) exerts forces (46) radially inward around and along the grating (20) so as to cause the wavelength bandwidth of the grating reflectivity profile to become broader and to be shifted relative to its uncoated condition. Also, the forces on the grating (20) are reduced when the coating corrodes, thereby causing the wavelength bandwidth and shift of the reflectivity profile of the grating to narrow and to return to its uncoated condition.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

Description

Optical Fiber Bragg Grating Coating Removal Detection

5 Cross References to Related Applications

Copending US Patent Application Serial No. (UTC Docket No. R-3869), entitled "Highly Sensitive Optical Fiber Cavity Coating Removal Detection", filed contemporaneously herewith, contains subject
10 matter related to that disclosed herein.

Technical Field

This invention relates to smart structures and, more particularly, to optical corrosion detection.

Background Art

15 It is known in the field of optical temperature and strain sensor technology to distribute sensors along a surface of or within a surface of a structure. Such sensors provide information about the stresses induced at various points on the
20 structure, thereby providing information regarding fatigue, lifetime, and maintenance repair cycles of the structure. Such sensor-integrated structures and the optics and electronics that make them functional are known as "smart structures." One
25 such system is described in copending U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/207,993, entitled "Embedded Optical Sensor Capable of Strain and Temperature Measurement."

In addition to measuring stresses and
30 temperatures at various points in a structure, it is also desirable to ascertain information regarding corrosion of structural components to determine when the structure is unfit for its normal use. For example, if corrosion occurs at critical stress

points along the fuselage or wings of an airplane, structural failure may result.

Thus, it is desirable to obtain a sensor capable of detecting corrosion in structural
5 materials.

Disclosure of Invention

Objects of the invention include provision of an optical sensor which detects corrosion.

According to the present invention an optical
10 sensor, comprises an optical fiber; a fiber grating embedded within the fiber having a reflection wavelength bandwidth of a reflectivity profile for reflecting incident light; a coating of a material having a predetermined thickness and being around
15 the perimeter and along the length of the fiber grating; the coating exerting forces radially inward around and along the grating so as to cause the wavelength bandwidth of the reflectivity profile of the grating to become broader than it would be
20 without the coating; and the forces on the grating being reduced when the coating is at least partially removed, thereby causing the wavelength bandwidth of the reflectivity profile of the grating to narrow.

According further to the present invention, the
25 forces from the coating also cause a peak reflection wavelength of the grating to exhibit a wavelength shift from a value that the peak reflection wavelength would be at without the coating and wherein the wavelength shift is reduced when the
30 coating is at least partially removed.

According still further to the present invention, the coating comprises aluminum.

The invention represents an advancement in smart structure technology which allows for the
35 detection of corrosion in structures by the discovery that a grating coated with a material,

such as aluminum, causes the grating reflectivity profile to broaden and shift. The amount of broadening and shifting which occurs can be adjusted by the process chosen to apply the coating to the fiber grating sensor and the material the coating is made from. The invention is lightweight, inexpensive, and easy to install and has high sensitivity to corrosion. Furthermore, the sensor is easily coupled with other smart sensor technology such as temperature and/or strain sensors which also use fiber Bragg gratings.

The foregoing and other objects, features and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent in light of the following detailed description of exemplary embodiments thereof as illustrated in the accompanying drawings.

Brief Description of Drawings

Fig. 1 is a diagram of a Bragg grating in an optical fiber which is coated with an aluminum coating, in accordance with the present invention.

Fig. 2 is a cross-sectional view of an optical fiber Bragg grating showing a core, a cladding, and an aluminum coating, in accordance with the present invention.

Fig. 3 is a graph showing the reflected optical spectrum of a Bragg grating before and after application of the coating of Fig. 1, in accordance with the present invention.

Best Mode for Carrying out the Invention

Referring to Fig. 1, a light source 10 provides an optical signal 12 to a beam splitter 14 which passes a predetermined amount of light 16 into an optical fiber 18. The optical signal 16 is incident on a Bragg grating 20 which is impressed within the core of the optical fiber 18. A fiber Bragg

grating, as is known, is a periodic refractive index variation which reflects a narrow wavelength band of light and passes all other wavelengths, thereby exhibiting a narrow wavelength reflectivity profile, as is discussed in U.S. Patent No. 4,725,110 to Glenn et al.

A portion 22 of the light 16 is reflected off the grating 20, and the remaining wavelengths are passed through the grating 20 as indicated by the output light 24. The light 24 exits the fiber 18 and is incident on a detector 26, which provides an electrical signal on a line 28 indicative of the intensity of the light 24 incident thereon. Similarly, the reflected light 22 exits the fiber 18 and is incident on the beam splitter 14 which reflects a predetermined portion of the light 22, as indicated by a line 30, onto a detector 32. The detector 32 provides an electrical signal on a line 34 indicative of the intensity of the light 30 incident thereon. Also, the fiber grating 20 is surrounded by a coating 40 made of, e.g., aluminum (methods for coating are discussed hereinafter).

Referring now to Fig. 2, a cross-sectional view of the fiber grating 20 includes a fiber core 42, made of germania-doped silica, having a diameter of about 6 to 9 microns. Surrounding the core 42 is a cladding 44 made of pure silica having an outer diameter of about 125 microns. Surrounding the cladding 44 is the outer coating 40 of aluminum having an outer diameter of about 196 microns. Other materials and diameters for the core, cladding, and coating may be used if desired.

Referring now to Fig. 3, we have found that when a fiber grating is coated and placed into compression by a material such as aluminum, two effects occur to a normal narrow reflection (or reflectivity) profile 100 (or filter function) of a

typical uncoated grating. First, the wavelength band of the reflectivity profile of the grating increases, i.e., becomes broader or wider, from the uncoated narrow grating profile 100 to the coated broadened grating profile 102. Second, the central reflection wavelength of the reflectivity profile shifts from λ_1 of the uncoated profile 100 to a shorter wavelength λ_2 of the coated profile 102, for a total wavelength shift of $\Delta\lambda_s$.

The wavelength broadening effect is due to small non-uniform changes in the refractive index of the fiber caused by pressure or forces (also known as "microbends") exerted by the aluminum coating 40 on the cladding 44 and the core 42, as indicated by lines 46. Such small non-uniformities can occur naturally as grain boundaries when the aluminum is cooled on the surface of the glass fiber. Also, such non-uniformities are due to the fact that the coating 40 (Fig. 2) is not perfectly uniform around the circumference (or perimeter) of the cladding 44, and thus, pressure 46 exerted by the coating 40 is not uniformly applied. Furthermore, because the coating 40 is not perfectly uniform in thickness along the longitudinal axis or length of the grating 20 (Fig. 1), pressure 46 (Fig. 2) exerted on the grating 20 will randomly vary along the length of the grating 20, thereby also contributing to such non-uniformities. The coating therefore causes a random pressure gradient along the longitudinal axis of the grating 20 (and also circumferentially around the grating) which causes an associated random variation in refractive index. In particular, the microbends disrupt the smooth sinusoidal periodic refractive index variation which creates the narrow reflectivity profile of the typical narrow-band Bragg grating.

Such pressure gradient and the associated refractive index change can also reduce the reflection efficiency (i.e., the peak reflectivity) of the grating 20 from a reflectivity R_1 for an
5 uncoated grating to a lower reflectivity R_2 for a coated grating due to the broadening of the wavelength reflectivity profile.

Also, the wavelength shift $\Delta\lambda_s$ is caused by a change in the overall force exerted on the grating
10 from that which exists in an uncoated grating. Thus, the greater the overall force exerted on the grating by the coating, the larger the wavelength shift $\Delta\lambda_s$ will be.

As the coating 40 around the grating 20
15 corrodes, pressure exerted by the coating 40 is reduced, thereby reducing the magnitude of the microbends as well as the overall average force on the grating. As such, when the coating is completely removed the grating returns to its normal
20 narrow reflectivity profile as indicated by the curve 100 in Fig. 3, having a central reflection wavelength of λ_1 . If the coating is only partially removed, i.e., the coating is merely thinned or is removed only in some areas but not others, a
25 corresponding change toward the uncoated grating reflectivity profile will result. The amount of coating removal needed before the grating will exhibit a change in the grating reflectivity profile depends on the initial force applied to the grating
30 by the coating, the stiffness of coating material, and the thickness of the coating remaining, and can be easily determined by those skilled in the art.

As discussed hereinbefore, we have found that
35 the wavelength shift $\Delta\lambda_s$ is due to an overall average force exerted by the coating on the grating and the bandwidth increase is caused by the aforementioned microbends (or non-uniform forces

applied to the grating). As a result, we have found that the process used for coating the grating and the type of coating material used, determines the amount of wavelength shift $\Delta\lambda_s$ and the amount of
5 narrowing of the reflectivity profile which occurs.

Accordingly, if the fiber is coated with aluminum when the fiber is at the melting temperature of aluminum, e.g., by dipping the fiber into molten aluminum at temperature of about 650°C
10 then removing the fiber to facilitate cooling and adhesion of the coating to the surface of the fiber, the large difference in thermal expansion coefficients between fiber and aluminum cause a large overall force to be exerted on the fiber
15 during cooling. This technique is known as "freeze coating." In that case, the average wavelength shift $\Delta\lambda_s$ may be of the order of -4.9 nm due to the compressive strain effect of the aluminum along the length and around the circumference of the optical
20 fiber after cooling occurs. Also, the increase in the reflection bandwidth of the grating (e.g., the full-width-half-max. value) for this technique may be about a factor of 3 or less, e.g., an effective increase from about 0.17 nm to 0.55 nm or less.

25 However, if the fiber is maintained substantially at ambient temperature during the coating process (e.g., by sputtering or by vapor deposition), the cooling temperature gradient for the fiber is not as large and, thus, the overall
30 average force exerted on the fiber is not as large as the previously discussed dipping technique. Accordingly, the wavelength shift $\Delta\lambda_s$ is smaller. Also, when using such a process, the coating tends to be quite smooth and uniform. As such, the non-
35 uniform forces or microbends are less and, thus, the change in reflection bandwidth is less, than the aforementioned dipping technique.

Therefore, we have found that it is possible to tailor the amount of reflection wavelength shift by adjusting the amount of overall average force applied to the grating which is directly related to the temperature of the fiber during coating and the thermal expansion coefficient of the coating material. Also, we have found that it is possible to tailor the amount of reflection bandwidth broadening by adjusting the smoothness and uniformity of the coating applied to the grating.

It should be understood that the source 10 may be a broadband light source and the detector 32 may be an optical spectrometer which provides an electrical signal 34 indicative of the wavelength reflectivity profile, i.e., the reflected wavelengths and the associated intensities thereof. Alternatively, the source 10 may be a variable source as used in an active wavelength scan/interrogation technique, such as that described in copending US Patent Application, Serial No. 08/129,217, entitled "Diagnostic System for Fiber Grating Sensors."

Any other means of analyzing the optical output signals 30 or 24 (depending on whether the device is operating in reflection or transmission) may be used to detect the changes in the optical output signals due to corrosion. However, the sensing technique is not critical to the present invention. For example, an optional fiber grating 60, which is matched to the reflectivity profile of the grating 20 without a coating, may be placed between the detector 32 and the beamsplitter 14, in the path of the light 30 and the grating 20 coated with the technique discussed hereinbefore that minimizes wavelength shift. In that case, when the grating 20 is coated (and the reflectivity profile is broad), the reflected light 22 and 30 will also be broadband. Also, because the

grating 60 has a narrower reflectivity profile than the incident light 30, a portion of the light 30 will pass through the grating 60 and be seen at the detector 32. Conversely, when the coating is
5 removed from the grating 20, the reflectivity profiles of the two gratings 20,60 match and no (or minimal) light is passed to the detector 32.

Alternatively, the two gratings 20,60 may be matched and coated, with only the grating 20 being
10 exposed to corrosion. In that case, light will be minimized when no corrosion exists and, when the coating on the grating 20 corrodes, the light seen by the detector will be maximized due to the higher reflectivity of the uncoated fiber.

15 Also, it should be understood that either or both of the effects of removal of the coating from the grating, i.e., the change in width of the reflectivity profile and/or the central wavelength shift, may be used to detect corrosion.

20 Furthermore, a material other than aluminum may be used as the coating around the grating, provided such coating either corrodes, evaporates, thins, or in some other way is removed partially or completely from coating the grating so as to reduce the forces
25 exerted on the grating. Therefore, the invention may be used to detect the partial or complete removal of any coating surrounding a grating, provided a predetermined criteria of changes in overall average force and non-uniformity of forces
30 on the grating are satisfied, as discussed hereinbefore.

Also, instead of applying the coating to the entire length of the grating, a portion of the grating length may be coated.

Claims

We Claim:

- 1 1. An optical sensor, comprising:
2 an optical fiber;
3 a fiber grating embedded within said optical
4 fiber, said grating having a reflection wavelength
5 bandwidth of a reflectivity profile for reflecting
6 incident light;
7 a coating of a material having a predetermined
8 thickness and being around the circumference and
9 along the length of said fiber grating;
10 said coating exerting forces radially inward
11 around and along said grating so as to cause said
12 wavelength bandwidth of said reflectivity profile of
13 said grating to become broader than it would be
14 without said coating; and
15 said forces on said grating being reduced when
16 said coating is at least partially removed, thereby
17 causing the wavelength bandwidth of said
18 reflectivity profile of said grating to narrow.
- 1 2. The sensor of claim 1 wherein said optical
2 fiber comprises a fiber core and a cladding
3 surrounding said fiber core.
- 1 3. The sensor of claim 1 wherein said forces from
2 said coating are non-uniformly distributed around
3 and along said grating and disrupt a periodic
4 refractive index variation of said grating, thereby
5 causing the broadening of said wavelength bandwidth
6 of said reflectivity profile.
- 1 4. The sensor of claim 1 wherein said forces from
2 said coating also cause a peak reflection wavelength
3 of said grating to exhibit a wavelength shift from a
4 value that said peak reflection wavelength would be
5 at without said coating and wherein said wavelength

6 shift is reduced when said coating is at least
7 partially removed.

1 5. The sensor of claim 4 wherein said forces from
2 said coating exert an overall average force around
3 and along said grating thereby causing said
4 wavelength shift.

1 6. The sensor of claim 1 wherein said coating
2 comprises aluminum.

1 7. The sensor of claim 1 wherein the removal of
2 said coating comprises corrosion of said coating.

1 8. A method for making an optical sensor,
2 comprising:

3 obtaining an optical fiber with a fiber grating
4 embedded therein;

5 applying a coating to said fiber grating around
6 the circumference of and along the length of said
7 grating;

8 said coating being applied to said grating such
9 that said coating exerts non-uniform forces around
10 and along said grating;

11 said forces causing said wavelength bandwidth
12 of a reflectivity profile of said grating to become
13 broader than it would be without said coating; and

14 said forces on said grating being reduced when
15 said coating is at least partially removed, thereby
16 causing the wavelength bandwidth of said
17 reflectivity profile of said grating to narrow.

- 1 9. The method of claim 8, wherein:
2 said coating exerts an overall average force
3 around and along said grating thereby causing a peak
4 reflection wavelength of said grating to exhibit a
5 wavelength shift from a value that said peak
6 reflection wavelength would be at without said
7 coating and wherein said wavelength shift is reduced
8 when said coating is at least partially removed.
- 1 10. The method of claim 8 wherein said coating
2 comprises aluminum.
- 1 11. The method of claim 8 wherein said step of
2 applying said coating comprises vapor deposition.
- 1 12. The method of claim 8 wherein said step of
2 applying said coating comprises freeze coating.
- 1 13. The method of claim 8 wherein the removal of
2 said coating comprises corrosion of said coating.

1/2

fig. 1






fig. 2

2/2

fig. 3



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat. Application No.

PCT/US 95/15163

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 G01D5/26 G01B11/16 G01L1/00 C03C25/00 G02B6/245

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 G01D G01B G01L C03C G02B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US,A,5 007 705 (MOREY WILLIAM W ET AL) 16 April 1991 see column 5, line 30 - line 41 see column 6, line 16 - line 26 see figures 1-3,5,8 see claims ---	1,2,4,8
A	CA,A,1 149 209 (CANADA MINISTER DEFENCE) 5 July 1983 see page 7, line 3 - line 28 see figures 3,4 ---	1,2
A	WO,A,86 01303 (UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP) 27 February 1986 cited in the application see page 2, line 27 - line 31 see page 3 - page 6 see figures ---	1,2
-/--		

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

& document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

22 April 1996

Date of mailing of the international search report

03.05.96

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Mathyssek, K

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat'l Application No
PCT/US 95/15163

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US,A,5 351 324 (FORMAN PETER R) 27 September 1994 see the whole document ---	1,2
A	GB,A,2 196 735 (BABCOCK & WILCOX CO) 5 May 1988 see claims ---	1,2,6,10
A	US,A,5 321 257 (DANISCH LEE A) 14 June 1994 see column 8, line 8 - line 28 see figures 2,3,10 -----	1-3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Intern: 1 Application No

PCT/US 95/15163

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US-A-5007705	16-04-91	EP-A- 0507882 WO-A- 9110156	14-10-92 11-07-91
CA-A-1149209	05-07-83	US-A- 4400056	23-08-83
WO-A-8601303	27-02-86	DE-A- 3586052 EP-A, B 0191063 JP-T- 62500052 US-A- 4807950 US-A- 4725110	17-06-92 20-08-86 08-01-87 28-02-89 16-02-88
US-A-5351324	27-09-94	NONE	
GB-A-2196735	05-05-88	AU-B- 598858 AU-B- 7889487 CA-A- 1299389 JP-A- 63117205 US-A- 5020379	05-07-90 05-05-88 28-04-92 21-05-88 04-06-91
US-A-5321257	14-06-94	CA-A- 2073162	01-02-93

